90

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Single Bench :Hon'ble Shri Jagdish Bhalla, Ag Chief Justice.

Writ Petition No.5417 of 2005

Petitioners
Plaintiff No.3

1) Smt Asha Agnihotri, W/o Shri Navin Agnihotri, Aged about 32 years, R/o Barpali Chowk, Tahsil - Champa, Distt. Champa (CG)

Plaintiff No.4

2) Smt Shyama Pandey, W/o Lt Ram Naresh Pandey, Aged about 42 years, R/o Rambag Para, Tahsil & Distt - Dhamtari (CG)

Versus

Respondents
Defendents

1) Shanti bai Dubey, W/o Mohan Prasad Dubey, Aged about 50 years, R/o Tikara Para, Tahsil & Distt. - Raiput (CG)

2) Vishal Dubey, S/o Mohan Prasad Dubey, Aged about 22 years, R/o Tikara Para, Tahsil & Distt - Raipur (CG)

3) Rakesh Dubey, S/o Ramadhin Dubey, Aged about 45 years, R/o Tikara Para, Tahsil & Distt - Raipur (CG)

Plaintiffs No.1 & 2

4) Santosh Mishra, S/o Lt RB Mishra, Aged about 37 years, R/o Nandi Chowk, Tikara Para, Tahsil & Distt. - Raipur (CG)

5) Ramesh Mishra, S/o Lt. RB Mishra, Aged about 40 years, R/o Nandi Chowk, Tikara Para, Tahsil & Distt - Raipur (CG)

Writ Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

Present: Shri Ashok Patil, counsel for the petitioners.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 11th July, 2007)

The petitioners are the plaintiffs before the execution Court. Their grievance is that as compared to the defendants they have been given less opportunity. The application for re-possession is pending. It would be open for the petitioners to contest the same. The opportunities

are given in the circumstances of the case and it cannot be said that somebody is given more opportunity and somebody is given less opportunity. Thus, no interference is called for in the impugned order. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

Sd/-

Ag Chief Justice